Independent Auditor’s Report
Te Pūrongo a te Kaitātari
Kaute Motuhake

TO THE READERS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY AND GROUP’S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, STATEMENT OF SERVICE PERFORMANCE AND APPROPRIATION STATEMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2017

The Auditor-General is the auditor of University of Canterbury (the University) and group. The Auditor General has appointed me, Andy Burns, using the staff and resources of Audit New Zealand, to carry out the audit of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement of the University and group on his behalf.

Opinion
We have audited:
• the financial statements of the University and group on pages 42 to 77, that comprise the statement of financial position as at 31 December 2017, the statement of comprehensive revenue and expense, statement of changes in net assets / equity and statement of cash flows for the year ended on that date and the notes to the financial statements that include accounting policies and other explanatory information;
• the statement of service performance of the University and group on pages 20 to 35; and
• the appropriation statement of the University on pages 38 and 39.

In our opinion:
• the financial statements of the University and group on pages 42 to 77:
  + present fairly, in all material respects:
    • the financial position as at 31 December 2017; and
    • the financial performance and cash flows for the year then ended; and
  + comply with generally accepted accounting practice in New Zealand in accordance with Public Benefit Entity Standards; and
• the statement of service performance of the University and group on pages 20 to 35 presents fairly, in all material respects, the University and group’s service performance achievements measured against the proposed outcomes described in the investment plan for the year ended 31 December 2017; and
• the appropriation statement of the University on pages 38 and 39 presents fairly, in all material respects, what has been achieved with the appropriation by the University.

Our audit was completed on 28 February 2018. This is the date at which our opinion is expressed.

The basis for our opinion is explained below. In addition, we outline the responsibilities of the Council and our responsibilities relating to the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement. We also comment on other information, and we explain our independence.

Basis for our opinion
We carried out our audit in accordance with the Auditor-General’s Auditing Standards, which incorporate the Professional and Ethical Standards and the International Standards on Auditing (New Zealand) issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Responsibilities of the auditor section of our report.

We have fulfilled our responsibilities in accordance with the Auditor General’s Auditing Standards.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Key audit matters
Key audit matters are those that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement for the current period.

We summarise those matters below and how we addressed them in our audit of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement as a whole.

Any comments we make on the findings of our audit procedures should be read in that context and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.
We reviewed the University’s evidence to support its assessment that there has been no material movement in the fair value of buildings since the last valuation. The University’s approach to its assessment included the application of relevant indices and obtaining advice from the professional valuer who performed the most recent buildings valuation. We confirmed that the University’s approach complied with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting standard (PBE IPSAS 17).

We assessed the appropriateness of the specific price indices used by the University and checked the accuracy of the indexing calculations. We assessed the valuer’s expertise for the work and their objectivity, including reading their terms of engagement and considering the existence of other engagements or relationships with the University.

We considered the valuer’s advice to the University that from their expertise and knowledge of movements in input cost indices and market information, their opinion is that there has been no material movement in value since their previous valuation.

We found that the University’s assessment of the movement in value of its buildings was supportable and used an approach consistent with our expectations for a fair value movement assessment for public sector specialised buildings.

Impairment estimates
As no formal updated remediation cost estimates were provided by the independent quantity surveyors as at 31 December 2017, we assessed management’s processes to confirm the continuing appropriateness of the surveyors’ previous estimates. This included considering the appropriateness of the cost indices used by the University.

We compared the repair estimates on an individual building basis to the University’s fixed asset register to confirm that impairment recognised on each individual building does not exceed its carrying value. We also considered whether the related disclosures in the financial statements were clear and informative and in accordance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.

We found that the deductions from buildings fair value for impairment were based on the best available information of damage and the likely remediation approach, costed using reasonable assumptions about prices for the required remediation work.
Responsibilities of the Council for the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement

The Council is responsible on behalf of the University and group for preparing financial statements, that are fairly presented and that comply with generally accepted accounting practice in New Zealand.

The Council is responsible on behalf of the University and group for preparing a statement of service performance and appropriation statement that are fairly presented.

The Council is responsible for such internal control as it determines is necessary to enable it to prepare financial statements, a statement of service performance and appropriation statement that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, the Council is responsible on behalf of the University and group for assessing the University and group’s ability to continue as a going concern. The Council is also responsible for disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting, unless the Council intends to liquidate the University and group or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.


Responsibilities of the auditor for the audit of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, as a whole, are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit carried out in accordance with the Auditor-General’s Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements are differences or omissions of amounts or disclosures, and can arise from fraud or error. Misstatements are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of readers taken on the basis of these financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement.

For the budget information reported in the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, our procedures were limited to checking that the information agreed to:

- the University and group’s Council approved budget for the financial statements;
- the investment plan for the statement of service performance; and
- the Estimates of Appropriations — Vote Tertiary Education for the appropriation statement.

We did not evaluate the security and controls over the electronic publication of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement.

As part of an audit in accordance with the Auditor General’s Auditing Standards, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. Also:

- We identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- We obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University and group’s internal control.
- We evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Council.

We conclude on the appropriateness of the use of the going concern basis of accounting by the Council and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the University and group’s ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor’s report to the related disclosures in the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of the auditor’s report. However, future events or conditions may cause the University and group to cease to continue as a going concern.

- We evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- We obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement of the entities or business activities within the group to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and the consolidated statement of service performance. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with the Council regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.


Other Information

The Council is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included on pages 1 to 3, 7 to 19, and 78 to 85, but does not include the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, and our auditor’s report thereon.

Our opinion on the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement does not cover the other information and we do not express any form of audit opinion or assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement, our responsibility is to read the other information. In doing so, we consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements, statement of service performance and appropriation statement or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on our work, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact. We have nothing to report in this regard.

Independence

We are independent of the University and group in accordance with the independence requirements of the Auditor General’s Auditing Standards, which incorporate the independence requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 1 (Revised). Code of Ethics for Assurance Practitioners issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

In addition to the audit, we have carried out other assurance engagements which were providing a report to the University’s philanthropic bond trustees and a report on the University’s Performance-Based Research Fund external research income. These engagements are compatible with those independence requirements.

Other than the audit and these engagements, we have no relationship with or interests in the University or any of its subsidiaries.

Andy Burns
Audit New Zealand
On behalf of the Auditor-General
Christchurch, New Zealand